

Sustainability in Rural territories participating in global value chains. Cases of Costa Rican agroindustrial-chains

Rafael A. Diaz

Centro Internacional de Política Económica para el Desarrollo Sostenible Universidad Nacional de Costa Rica (CINPE-UNA), Heredia, Costa Rica





Introduction

Balance of implications for the sustainable development of rural regions of Costa Rica, participating in GVCs

Starting point: FDI automatically does not generate sustainable development

Consequences or restrictions that face local growers within the governance system Cases of agroindustrial chains, FDI: coffee, bananas, pineapple and flowers.





General characteristics

Share of Costa Rican Exports

Agrichain	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Banana	7,90	7,72	7,22	7,18	8,02	8,57
Pineapple	6,99	6,91	6,79	7,08	7,65	8,34
Coffee (Green)	2,73	3,59	3,62	2,62	2,44	3,17
Plants, Flowers and Foliage	1,82	1,62	1,47	1,35	1,35	1,57
Source: PROCOMER						

Products and Location

Agrichain	Products	Locations (countys)	MNCs	
Banana	Mainly fresh	Matina, Pococí, Siquirres, Parrita y Corredores	Del Monte y Dole y Chiquita Brands.	
Pineapple	Mainly fresh	Guácimo, Siquirres, Matina, Los Chiles, San Carlos, Sarapiquí, Guatuso, Buenos Aires, Parrita, Pérez Zeledón y Upala	Del Monte, Chiquita y Dole	
Coffee (Green)	Mainly Green Coffee	Tarrazú, Dota, Naranjo, Alajuela, León Cortés	Volcafé, Neuman Kaffee Group, Ecom	
Plants, Flowers and Foliage	Flowers Cut	Paraíso, San José de la Montaña, Barva	Expoflora, Florexpo, CABH American Flower	





Approach: FDI – Sustainable Development

Gallagher, Chudnovsky, and Porzecansi, (2009): focus on spillover effects that generate multinational companies (MNCs)

via learning and transferring of knowledge processes that allow to build skills and technological capabilities by local firms,
as well as the transmission of the best environmental practices. Alternatively: Our study looked at those links in the context of global chains in agro-industry, giving special attention to:

•the consequences or constraints faced by producers within a system of governance of global goods chains.





General methodology

Local sustainable development

• <u>Sustainability triangle</u>, in terms of local (district) indicators. SD indexes.

Expected benefits

Costs

- Macroeconomic effect: export growth
- <u>Chain level</u>: competitiveness improvement, transference and technology assimilation Upgrading processes: human resource training, local production enchaiments, entrepeneurship development, and process upgrading (transformation to a manufacturing center)
- FDI attraction: added value trap, focus on comparative advantages
- Macroeconomic: import dependance
- <u>Competitiveness</u>: Lack of clustering, crowding out local firms, race to bottom competition on salaries, labour and environmental standards, race to top on MNC incentives





	FDI Impact	Coffee	Banana	Pineapple	Flowers
	Chain Governance	Relational	Captive	Captive	Hierarchic
	Local Context Dimension Economic SDI Social SDI Environmental SDI	Unstable Stable Fragile	Unstable Stable Fragile	Unstable Stable Fragile	Stable Stable Fragile
	Corporate Strategy	Export	Export	Export	Export
	Expected benefits				
0	Export growth	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
S.	Competitiveness improvement	Medium	High	High	High
ISSION	Transference and technology assimilation	Medium	Medium	High	High
iscu	Human resource training	Medium	Medium	Medium	High
O	Local productive enchainment	High	High	Media	High
<u>_</u>	Entrepreneurship Development	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium
ך	Transformation of manufacture centers	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Costs (3)				
	Added Value Trap	Medium	High	High	Medium
	Focus on static comparative advantages	Medium	High	High	High
	Import dependence	Media	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Lack of clustering	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Crowding out local firms	Medium	Medium	High	Low
	Race to bottom in salaries, labor and environmental standards	Low	Medium	Medium	Medium
	Race to top in MNC incentives	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
<u>4</u>	Source: Own elaboration based on: García Contreras, 2012, Murillo & Salazar, 2013.	& Valenciano,	2016, Valeno	ciano, Salas &	
DISAVIOLO SOLTINELE					CONTA RICA

Final remarks

Chain promotion

• How to promote an improved participation, or more realistically, how to avoid an impoverishing enchainment?

Resource Development

• As suppliers of labor: training processes, and capacity-building are fundamental prevent participation in activities based on abundance and low-wage labor. As suppliers of natural resources: environmental regulations

Explicit consideration of the chain governance

Small producers's participation in global value chains is important in the processes of growth, but participation is not enough without considering how to deal with the governance structure.

Local and international institutions

- The national institutional framework is determining the competitiveness of small local producers.: programs of differential access to credit, technical assistance and market intelligence.
- International instruments should consider, when designing support mechanisms, the risk of supporting the governance system instead of small growers.

Foreign direct investment: balance

• FDI in rural area is natural resources oriented; mainly cheap, fertile soils and climate factors. It is recognized the benefit in the employment generation, however in issues as environmental sustainability and social equity there is not clear evidence about the positive contribution of these companies. Local chain institutions may mean the diference.



